



सत्यमेव जयते
Government of India

Government of India
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
Office of the Development Commissioner
Admin Office Building, MEPZ - Special Economic Zone,
National Highway - 45, Tambaram, Chennai - 600 045
Fax:044 2262 8218, Email Id: dc@mepz.gov.in

File No. RTI/484/2022-23

846

Dated: 22.03.2023

To
Shri. S. Sivakumar,
No-241, Ambedkar Street,
Munnurmangalam Post, Chengam Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District – 606 705.

Sub: Information required under RTI Act, 2005 -Reg.

Kindly refer to your RTI application dated 20.02.2023 forwarded by the Ministry of Commerce vide their letter No.K-43022/19/2023-SEZ and dated 24.02.2023 received in this office on 24.02.2023. The information sought is provided herewith as under in respect of this office:

Sl.No.	Information Sought	Information furnished
1	Number of Vacant Post of UDC, LDC and MTS in MEPZ SEZ.	Vacant Post of UDC: 2 Vacant Post of LDC: 5 Vacant Post of MTS: Post abolished and Redesigned has Security Guard
2	What was the last vacancy filled in MEPZ SEZ.	Please clarify whether the question pertain vacancy filled by direct recruitment or by promotion.
3	If not filled what is the reason?	It is a hypothetical question. Moreover, the question of the applicant involves the interpretation of the "Relationship between two people" which is beyond the purview of CPIO under the RTI Act, 2005. The Hon'ble Central Information Commission in its Order dated 03.03.2017 in Appeal No. CIC/SB/A/2016/001025/CBECE-BJ (in the case of Mr.SubrataGuha Ray Vs. CPIO) has stated the following:- "At the outset, the Commission observed that under the provision of the RTI Act, 2005, only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided. The PIO is not supposed to create information that is not a part of the record. He is also not required to interpret information or furnish replies to hypothetical questions. Similarly, redressal of grievance, the reason for non-compliance of rules/contesting the actions of the respondent public authority are outside the purview of the Act." From the above Case Law, it is evident that the CPIO is not supposed to create information that is not part of the records.